



Report for Pedro Millikan at KAPC

Region: Pacific

Below is a summary of scores and feedback from all the usable evaluations which were submitted for you during the 2026 Flight Training Experience Award application period. Many flight training providers receive exceptionally high scores so we also share with you the distribution of the overall aggregate scores for comparison.

1. Eligibility

To be eligible for an award, you needed to receive at least 5 unique evaluations, each at least 80% complete

A survey response was considered 'usable' if the respondent answered at least 80% of the questions required for scoring.

A CFI was considered 'eligible' for an award if they received at least 5 unique, usable responses.

Average scores were based on all usable responses received and have been rounded where necessary.

Your percentile rank was calculated by comparing your score to scores of all other (nominated/eligible) CFIs. Percentile ranks were calculated at both national and regional levels and may have been rounded.

Your performance (percentile rank) compared to all other eligible CFIs in your region was one of many factors that informed award decisions.

You received 6 evaluations.

2. Free Responses

At the end of each evaluation, we ask the following open-ended question:

Why should or shouldn't your CFI win a Flight Training Experience Award?

3. Individual Overall Scores & Responses

Total Score	Student Review
90.00	He is a great teacher! His passion really comes through.
87.00	I would highly recommend this instructor. He is very knowledgeable, patient, diligent, and encouraging. I have been working with him for over a year. He has great pilotage, knows the Cessna planes as well as taildraggers, and teaches with real-world experience. Working with Pedro has been an enjoyable and life-changing experience.
90.00	He's very professional and the way he teaches is easy to understand, he has a lot of knowledge and experience, i felt very safe and inspired since my first flight class.
82.00	I recommend Pedro for the Flight Training Experience Award. He consistently demonstrates exceptional dedication, care, and extensive knowledge in every lesson. Furthermore, his ability to motivate and inspire individuals to achieve excellence is truly remarkable.
86.00	Pedro was a great instructor in many ways. He was calm and patient, very knowledgeable and insisted on a high level of application.

81.00

Pedro is an excellent flight instructor. As many in aviation know, being a good pilot doesn't necessarily make someone a good instructor – but Pedro excels at both. From the beginning, Pedro took the time to thoroughly work through what the training process would look like and applied a well-structured education plan based around my specific needs and goals. His teaching approach was methodical and clear, making complex concepts accessible and building skills progressively. Pedro was particularly strong on ADM (Aeronautical Decision Making) and perfecting pilotage skills – essential foundations that will serve me throughout my aviation journey. Safety was always paramount in every lesson, never compromised or treated as secondary to progress. What really distinguished Pedro was how much he genuinely cared for his students. During the intensive, compressed program I undertook, he consistently made himself available to help, going above and beyond to ensure I had the support needed to succeed. His dedication extended beyond scheduled flight time – he was truly invested in my progress. Pedro taught at an excellent flight school and perfectly modeled their values and standards. His dedication to developing not just competent pilots, but safe, confident, and skilled aviators, made all the difference in my training experience. I couldn't have asked for a better instructor. I highly recommend Pedro to anyone seeking quality flight instruction from someone who genuinely cares about their students' success and safety.

4. Aggregate Overall Score (Range: 0 to 100)

This is the overall score you received from your customers in the 2026 Flight Training Experience Award application period:

Your Score: 86

4.1 Compared to All Nominees (those with at least 1 evaluation)

National Average: 86

Your national percentile ranking: 19%

Regional Average: 87

Your regional percentile ranking: 19%

4.2 Compared to All Eligible Nominees (those with at least 10 evaluations)

National Average: 87

Your national percentile ranking: 18%

Regional Average: 87

Your regional percentile ranking: 14%

5. SubScore Summary for at KAPC

Region: Pacific

The remaining sections summarize your individual subscores (range: 0 to 100) as they apply to each of the four areas from our Flight Training Experience Research: Educational Quality, Customer Focus, Community, and Information Sharing. You will also find national and regional averages, for benchmarking.

Subscore Summary:

	Your Score	National Avg
Educational Quality	97	98
Customer Focus	99	97
Community	94	92
Information Sharing	90	94
NetPromoter Score	100	95
Overall Total	96	95

6. Educational Quality Scale (Range: 0 to 100)

This is the score you received from your customers, derived solely from the questions related to Educational Quality; offering effective training from professional instructors who are dedicated to students' success

Your Score: 97

6.1 Compared to All Nominees (those with at least 1 evaluation)

National Average: 98
Your national percentile ranking: 7%
Regional Average: 99
Your regional percentile ranking: 12%

6.2 Compared to All Eligible Nominees (those with at least 10 evaluations)

National Average: 99
Your national percentile ranking: 3%
Regional Average: 99
Your regional percentile ranking: 14%

6.3 Responses per Question

My CFI consistently used a training syllabus that I could consult.

Response Choice	Frequency	%
Strongly Agree	5	83.33%
Somewhat Agree	1	16.67%

My CFI tailored their lessons plans to accommodate my personal strengths and weaknesses.

Response Choice	Frequency	%
Strongly Agree	6	100%

I felt safe flying with my CFI.

Response Choice	Frequency	%
Strongly Agree	6	100%

My CFI helped me feel prepared for unexpected situations in flight.

Response Choice	Frequency	%
Strongly Agree	3	50%
Somewhat Agree	3	50%

7. Customer Focus Scale (Range: 0 to 100)

This is the score you received from your customers, derived solely from the questions related to Customer Focus; adding value by demonstrating professionalism and engagement with students

Your Score: 99

7.1 Compared to All Nominees (those with at least 1 evaluation)

National Average: 97

Your national percentile ranking: 51%

Regional Average: 98

Your regional percentile ranking: 35%

7.2 Compared to All Eligible Nominees (those with at least 10 evaluations)

National Average: 98

Your national percentile ranking: 64%

Regional Average: 99

Your regional percentile ranking: 43%

7.3 Responses per Question

My CFI patiently helped me understand difficult concepts.

Response Choice	Frequency	%
Strongly Agree	6	100%

I feel like my CFI made efficient use of my time.

Response Choice	Frequency	%
Strongly Agree	5	83.33%
Somewhat Agree	1	16.67%

My CFI was not invested in my success.

Response Choice	Frequency	%
Strongly Disagree	6	100%

My CFI was reliable and on-time for scheduled lessons

Response Choice	Frequency	%
Strongly Agree	6	100%

8. Community Focus Scale (Range: 0 to 100)

This is the score you received from your customers, derived solely from the questions related to Community; emphasizing social connections and accomplishments.

Your Score: 94

8.1 Compared to All Nominees (those with at least 1 evaluation)

National Average: 92

Your national percentile ranking: 50%

Regional Average: 94

Your regional percentile ranking: 42%

8.2 Compared to All Eligible Nominees (those with at least 10 evaluations)

National Average: 94

Your national percentile ranking: 52%

Regional Average: 93

Your regional percentile ranking: 29%

8.3 Responses per Question

After training with my CFI,I feel like amember of the general aviation community.

Response Choice	Frequency	%
Strongly Agree	6	100%

My instructor expressed that people like me should not fly.

Response Choice	Frequency	%
Neither agree nor disagree	2	33.33%
Strongly Disagree	4	66.67%

My CFI introduced me to students, new pilots, and members of the local aviation community.

Response Choice	Frequency	%
Strongly Agree	5	83.33%
Somewhat Agree	1	16.67%

My CFI shared relevant information to help me continue flying after training (e.g. how to find flying clubs, purchase an aircraft, or start an aviation career)

Response Choice	Frequency	%
Strongly Agree	5	83.33%
Neither agree nor disagree	1	16.67%

9. Information Sharing Scale (Range: 0 to 100)

This is the score you received from your customers, derived solely from the questions related to Information Sharing; educating and empowering students to make informed decisions about flight training investments.

Your Score: 90

9.1 Compared to All Nominees (those with at least 1 evaluation)

National Average: 94

Your national percentile ranking: 10%

Regional Average: 95

Your regional percentile ranking: 12%

9.2 Compared to All Eligible Nominees (those with at least 10 evaluations)

National Average: 95

Your national percentile ranking: 7%

Regional Average: 95

Your regional percentile ranking: 0%

9.3 Responses per Question

I always understood the steps required to reach my flight training goals with my CFI.

Response Choice	Frequency	%
Strongly Agree	6	100%

My flight training with my CFI has required more time and/or money than I expected.

Response Choice	Frequency	%
Somewhat Agree	1	16.67%
Neither agree nor disagree	2	33.33%
Somewhat Disagree	1	16.67%
Strongly Disagree	2	33.33%

Sometimes I did not know what my flight lessons would cover.

Response Choice	Frequency	%
Neither agree nor disagree	1	16.67%
Somewhat Disagree	2	33.33%
Strongly Disagree	3	50%

My CFI introduced me to online resources (like flight planning tools or weather sources) and showed how they can be used to aid my decision making.

Response Choice	Frequency	%
Strongly Agree	6	100%

10.Net Promoter Score

10.1 Questionnaire Responses

The Net Promoter Score is generated by asking customers how likely they would be to recommend a given product or service - in this case, your services as a flight instructor to another potential customer, on an 11 point scale.

How likely are you to recommend your CFI to someone interested in flight training?

Response Choice	Frequency	%
10	6	100%

10.2 NPS Grouping

Responses to this question are then used to sort customers into one of three groups:

Detractor: Less likely to use your services again and may not speak highly of your services to others.

Passive: Generally somewhat satisfied, passive respondents likely won't help or hurt your business in talking to other people.

Promoters: Ideally, all of your customers would be promoters. Promoters would fly with you again in the future and would tell others how great they think you are.

Response Choice	Frequency	%
Promoter	6	100%

10.3 Standardized Score Calculation

The overall Net Promoter Score is calculated by subtracting the percentage of your customers who are 'detractors' from the percentage of your customers you are 'promoters.' Thus, the overall Net Promoter Score can range from - 100 to 100.

For more information on the Net Promoter Score and how to interpret it, check out: <https://www.medallia.com/net-promoter-score>

Your Score: 100

10.3.1 Compared to All Nominees (those with at least 1 evaluation)

National Average: 95

Your national percentile ranking: 60%

Regional Average: 99

Your regional percentile ranking: 58%

10.3.2 Compared to All Eligible Nominees (those with at least 10 evaluations)

National Average: 97

Your national percentile ranking: 62%

Regional Average: 98

Your regional percentile ranking: 64%