September 14, 2007

Mr. Thomas C. Accardi Director of Aviation System Standards 6500 South Macarthur Blvd Oklahoma, OK 73169-6901

Re: Proposed Cancellation of 254 Instrument Approaches

Dear Mr. Accardi:

On behalf of more than 413,000 members, the Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association (AOPA) reviewed the Federal Aviation Administration's (FAA) proposal to cancel 254 instrument approaches that were announced in July 2006. AOPA opposes the cancellation of 83 instrument approaches, including three approaches that appear to eliminate all instrument access to airports, 36 approaches that require Global Positioning System (GPS) or Distance Measuring Equipment (DME) equipage to access the airport, 12 where all GPS approaches would be eliminated, and 30 approaches that result in the elimination of straight-in minimums to the airport. Although, AOPA strongly supports the FAA's efforts to transition the National Airspace System (NAS) to satellite based navigation, and understands the need to eliminate redundant and unnecessary approaches, many of the proposed cancellations could have a significant impact on general aviation operations.

Using the list of approaches provided by the FAA, AOPA has evaluated each one, and invited members to comment on the proposal. As a general comment, AOPA opposes any approach cancellation that eliminates GPS access to a runway end. Even if there are other GPS approaches to an airport, the continued promotion of GPS for all future navigation requires that the FAA preserve and improve upon the existing level of GPS approaches.

AOPA conducted a detailed analysis of the approaches, and we use color-coding to highlight 81 that have a significant affect on general aviation operations. Due to the negative affects the proposed approaches have on all users (including GPS users), AOPA recommends the following FAA actions for each category of impact.

Publish ground based and GPS approaches before eliminating the approaches
that end IFR services at three general aviation airports. AOPA research reveals
that Cuba, Missouri; Harvard, Nebraska; and Southampton, New York all will lose
instrument access unless the FAA publishes new approaches first.

Mr. Thomas Accardi Page 2 September 14, 2007

- Retain the 36 ground based approaches where GPS or DME would now be required. Loss of these approaches negatively impacts all users, including those equipped with GPS. For the pilots without DME or GPS, pilots will be forced to choose between new equipment investments, or increased risks associated with marginal visual flights. General aviation aircraft owners are extremely cost sensitive and they may not invest in either a GPS or DME system. Therefore, pilots may decide to fly under marginal visual conditions in lieu of instruments. AOPA has historically advocated for maximum access to instrument procedures so that pilots have the option of instrument access, and are not forced to operate in marginal conditions, which often times is more hazardous. Loss of non-GPS approaches at these airports also negatively impacts GPS users because the airports would no longer be eligible as an alternate airport. Current FAA policy prohibits GPS-only airports as an alternate unless the aircraft is equipped with a certified Wide Area Augmentation System (WAAS) navigator. With fewer than 15,000 aircraft equipped with WAAS, the remaining 50,000 aircraft equipped with certified non-WAAS GPS will be forced to select other airports that have a non-GPS approach as an alternate. A change in FAA policy could alleviate the impact on GPS users.
- Establish a straight-in, stand alone GPS approach before eliminating the 10 approaches that also eliminate all IFR GPS access. The loss of overlay approaches reduces the users ability to maximize their investment in GPS, and reduces their incentives to transition from traditional ground based navigation.
- Publish alternate ground-based and GPS approaches with straight-in minimums before canceling 30 approaches that now leave the airport with only a circling approach. Although AOPA believes that the circling to land approaches generally provide benefits that are worth their retention, AOPA opposes an increase in the number of airports where no straight-in GPS approach is available, and circling is now required. Accident data reveals that circling to land approaches are less safe than straight-in. Forcing users to accept straight-in access, as their only option is unacceptable.

AOPA also received numerous comments from AOPA members with the same concerns expressed above. However, multiple members expressed strong concerns about the loss of approaches due to their utilization for flight training purposes, and overall safety, including the following airports:

• KTRK (Truckee, CA). As in 2006, members recommend that the FAA should add more approach options for KTRK, not remove the existing approaches. Numerous members expressed concerns with regards to the cancelled approach in lieu of the remaining approach from a safety standpoint. Local weather patterns and general aviation piloting techniques suggest that the approach needs to be

Mr. Thomas Accardi Page 3 September 14, 2007

retained. AOPA also notes that the KTRK airport needs to be evaluated for instrument approaches in general. Relying on one remaining approach leaves pilots with few tactical choices in what appears to be a very hostile terrain and weather environment.

• KGXY (Greeley, CO). Multiple members commented that the proposed cancellation eliminates the only NDB approach for miles around. They question this strategy.

AOPA appreciates the ongoing dialogue with the FAA on the nation's general aviation airport approach services. A transition to satellite navigation is underway and where possible, the FAA should eliminate redundant or unnecessary approaches. However, as we have outlined above, certain approaches do not meet this criteria and should be retained.

Sincerely,

Andrew V. Cebula
Executive Vice President

Government Affairs

Enclosure

	Airport	City	State	Arpt ID	Procedure	Impact Statement
4	Cuba Muncipal	Cuba	МО	UBX	NDB-A	Impact Statement
2	Harvard State	Harvard	NE	Street, Square Squares of Assessment	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 35	IFR
3	Southampton	Southampton	NY		COPTER VOR/DME RNAV or GPS 187	IFR
	Hope Muni	Норе			NDB RWY 16	DME or GPS
	Castle	Atwater	CA		VOR/DME RWY 13	DME or GPS
6	Truckee-Tahoe	Truckee	CA		VOR/DME RNAV or GPS-A	DME or GPS
	Naples Muni	Naples	FL		VOR RWY 05	DME or GPS
	Charlotte County	Punta Gorda	FL		VOR RWY 03 VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 31	DME or GPS DME or GPS
10	Lagrange-Callaway Washington Muni	La Grange Washington	IA		VOR/DME RNAV OF GPS RWY 31	DME or GPS
	Ann Arbor Muni	Ann Arbor	MI		VOR RWY 06	DME or GPS
12	Wexford County	Cadillac	MI	CAD	NDB RWY 25	DME or GPS
	Grand Haven Memorial Airpark	Grand Haven	MI	3GM	VOR-A	DME or GPS
	Tulip City	Holland	MI	BIV	VOR-A	DME or GPS
	East Kansas City M. Graham Muni	Grain Valley Point Lookout	MO		VOR/DME RNAV RWY 27 VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 29	DME or GPS DME or GPS
10.00	Curtis L. Brown Jr. Field	Elizabethtown	NC		NDB RWY 33	DME or GPS
5 11	Harnett County	Erwin	NC	7.0	NDB RWY 23	DME or GPS
19	Gastonia Muni	Gastonia	NC		NDB RWY 03	DME or GPS
	Rockingham County NC Shiloh	Reidsville	NC		NDB RWY 31	DME or GPS
	Searle Field	Ogallala	NE		VOR RWY 08	DME or GPS
	Searle Field Sidney Muni/Lloyd W. Carr Field	Ogallala Sidney	NE		VOR RWY26 VOR RWY 12	DME or GPS DME or GPS
	Sidney Muni/Lloyd W. Carr Field	Sidney	NE	To the state of th	VOR RWY 30	DME or GPS
	Raton Muni/Crews Field	Raton	NM		NDB RWY 02	DME or GPS
26	Lt. Warren Eaton	Norwich	NY	OIC	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 19	DME or GPS
	Greater Portsmouth Regional	Portsmouth	ОН		VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 18	DME or GPS
	Watonga	Watonga	OK		NDB RWY 17	DME or GPS
	West Woodward Rafel Hernandez	Woodward Aguadilla	OK PR		NDB RWY 17 VOR RWY 08	DME or GPS DME or GPS
1000000	Aiken Muni	Aiken	SC		NDB RWY25	DME or GPS
	Marlboro County-Jetport-H.E. Avent Field	Bennettsville	sc		NDB RWY06	DME or GPS
33	Cheraw Muni/Lynch Bellinger Field	Cheraw	SC		NDB RWY26	DME or GPS
	Mt Pleasant Regional-Faison Field	Mount Pleasant	SC	1,000	VOR/DME RNAVor GPS RWY 17	DME or GPS
	Bay City Muni	Bay City	TX		NDB RWY 13	DME or GPS
	New Braunfels Muni Monroe Muni	New Braunfels Monroe	TX WI	BAZ	VOR/DME RNAV RWY 31 VOR/DME RNAVor GPS RWY 12	DME or GPS DME or GPS
	Portage Muni	Portage	WI	C47	VOR/DME RNAVorGPS RWY 17	DME or GPS
	Montgomery Field	San Diego	CA	MYF	NDB/DME or GPS RWY 28R	GPS
	Decorah Muni	Decorah	IA	DEH	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 29	GPS
	Amelia Earhart	Atchison	KS	K59	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 16	GPS
	Southwest Michigan Regional	Benton Harbor	MI	BEH	NDB or GPS RWY 27	GPS
	Anoka County-Blaine (Jane Field)	Minneapolis	MN	ANE	VOR/DME RNAVor GPS RWY 18	GPS
	Dubois-Jefferson County	Dubois	PA	DUJ	VOR/DME RNAV DWY 26	GPS GPS
	RWJ Airpark Cleburne Muni	Baytown Cleburne	TX	54T CPT	VOR/DME RNAV RWY 26 VOR/DME RNAV RWY 15	GPS
	Cleburne Muni	Cleburne	TX	CPT	VOR/DME RNAV RWY 13	GPS
	Campbell County	Jacksboro	TN	JAU	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS-A	GPS
	Marion County Regional	Flippin	AR	FLP	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 22	Circling
50	Chester	Chester	СТ	SNC	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 17	Circling
	Chester	Chester	СТ	SNC	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 35	Circling
	Cornelius-Moore Field	Cedartown	GA	4A4	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 10	Circling
	Cornelius-Moore Field Winder-Barrow	Cedartown Winder	GA	4A4 WDR	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 28 VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 23	Circling Circling
	Fort Madison Muni	Fort Madison	IA	FSW	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 16	Circling
	Fort Madison Muni	Fort Madison	IA	FSW	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 34	Circling
	Pekin Muni	Pekin	IL	C15	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 09	Circling
	Putnam County	Greencastle	IN		NDB RWY 18	Circling
	Kentland Muni	Kentland	IN	50I	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 27	Circling Circling
	Dowagiac Muni Menominee-Marinette Twin County	Dowagiac Menominee	MI	C91 MNM	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 27 VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 21	Circling
	Saint Claire County IntI.	Port Huron	MI	PHN	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 22	Circling
	Paul C. Miller-Sparta	Sparta	MI	8D4	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 24	Circling
64	Faribault Muni	Faribault	MN	FBL	VOR/DME RNAVor GPS RWY 12	Circling

2007 AOPA analysis of FAA cancellations									
	Airport	City	State	Arpt ID	Procedure	Impact Statement			
65	Litchfield Muni	Litchfield	MN	LJF	VOR/DME RNAVor GPS RWY 31	Circling			
66	Elton Henslev Mmeorial	Fulton	МО	FIT	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 05	Circling			
67	Omar N. Bradley	Moberly	МО	MBY	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 31	Circling			
	Omar N. Bradlev	Moberly	MO	MBY	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 13	Circling			
69	County Memorial	New Madrid	МО	EIW	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 18	Circling			
70	Mc Comb Pike County-John E. Lewis Field	Mc Comb	MS	MCB	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 33	Circling			
71	East Hampton	East Hampton	NY	нто	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 10	Circling			
72	East Hampton	East Hampton	NY	нто	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 28	Circling			
	Hardin County	Kenton	ОН	195	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 22	Circling			
74	Knox County	Mount Vernon	ОН	413	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 10	Circling			
75	Knox County	Mount Vernon	ОН	414	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 28	Circling			
76	Porlage County	Ravenna	OH	29G	VOR/DME RNAV or GPS RWY 27	Circling			
	Grove City	Grove City	PA	29D	VOR/DME RNAVor GPS RWY 10	Circling			
78	Grove City	Grove City	PA	29D	VOR/DME RNAVor GPS RWY 28	Circling			
		4 4177							
					-				
					4				
	Cancellation Impact Assessment								
	DME or GPS Now Required for Access	36	-						
	Lose All IFR Access	3	-						
	Circling The Only Option Now	30	-						
	All GPS Approaches Gone	12	-						
	Total	81							
-	Total	01	_			•			
-	I		-	0					
	Impact Statements:  IFR = Total loss of IFR Access to this airport  DME or GPS = DME or GPS equipage now required for access  Circling = Only circling access will be available			-		-			
_				7					
_									
	GPS = Loss of GPS Access								
-									